Satellite Attitude Dynamics and Control in the Presence of Environmental Torques—A Brief Survey

S.K. Shrivastava
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
and
V.J. Modi
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Introduction

MOTION of a spacecraft presents two dynamical aspects of interest. The most obvious one is the trajectory traced by its center of mass which is governed by the classical Keplerian relations. However, spacecraft are not point masses as Kepler assumed in the analysis of planetary bodies. They have finite size and hence inertia. Thus a satellite, while negotiating a trajectory, may execute rotational motion about its center of mass, commonly referred to as libration.

There are numerous situations of practical importance, such as communications, scanning of cloud cover for weather forecasting, survey of Earth resources, scientific and military observations, and so on, where it is desirable to maintain a satellite in a fixed orientation with respect to the Earth. Unfortunately, even though a spacecraft may be precisely oriented at launch, it tends to deviate from this preferred orientation under the influence of environmental forces (solar radiation pressure, interaction with the Earth's gravitational and magnetic fields, and, if the spacecraft happens to be close to the Earth, free molecular reaction forces), internal changes, and coupling of attitude dynamics with the orbital and flexural mechanics. This leads to undesirable librational motion which must be controlled for successful completion of a given mission.

A wide range of attitude control concepts has been proposed over the years and several have found practical application. Broadly speaking, they may be classified as active, passive, and semipassive procedures. The active systems use energy available onboard the satellite. The passive and semipassive systems, on the other hand, exploit the environmental forces for stabilization and control.

In the earlier days of space exploration, satellites tended to be relatively small, mechanically simple, and essentially rigid. However, this is no longer true for a modern spacecraft, which may carry large flexible solar panels to meet the everincreasing demand on electrical power to operate onboard instrumentation, scientific experiments, and communications systems. For example, the Canada/USA Communications Technical Satellite (CTS/Hermes), launched in early 1976, carried two solar panels, approximately 1.14 × 7.32 m each, to generate 1.2 kW. In fact, proposed L-SAT communications satellite of the European Space Agency (ESA), scheduled to be launched in the mid-1980s, will have two solar panels, extending to 33 m, to generate approximately 7 kW. With this trend toward larger spacecraft, further emphasized by proposed gigantic Space Operations Centers (SOC) and Satellite Solar Power Stations (SSPS), passive and semipassive librational control procedures appear to be more

Over the past 25 years, several hundred papers have appeared which deal with the subject of attitude dynamics of satellites in the presence of environmental effects and on their utilizations for attitude stabilization and control. This paper presents a rather concise and hence necessarily incomplete survey of some of the more relevant papers available in open literature. It reviews the following aspects:

- 1) modeling of environmental forces acting on satellites;
- 2) effect of environmental disturbances on satellite attitude dynamics:
- 3) use of the gravity-gradient, solar radiation pressure, aerodynamic forces, and magnetic field for passive and semipassive librational control of satellites; and
 - 4) flexible spacecraft and environment.

S.K. Shrivastava was born in India in 1945. He received his B.Tech.(Hons.) in Mechanical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, and his PhD in Engineering (Space Dynamics) from the University of British Columbia under the guidance of Prof. V.J. Modi. In 1972 he joined the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, where he is currently Associate Professor of Aerospace Engineering. In 1979-80 he was Senior Research Associate at the NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston. His research interests include satellite dynamics, flexible systems, control, and trajectory optimization. He is an Associate Fellow of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics and active in many capacities in the Indian Space Research Organization.

V.J. Modi was born in India, received his BS degree in Mechanical and Electrical Engineering from Bombay University, India, and his postgraduate diploma of DIISc at the Indian Institute of Sciences. After coming to the United States, he received his MS in Aeronautical Engineering from the University of Washington and his PhD from Purdue University. He is presently Professor of Mechanical Engineering at the University of British Columbia, where his research specialties include satellite dynamics, aerodynamics of bluff bodies, dynamics and stability of off-shore structures, and fluid dynamics of prosthetic heart valves. He is an Associate Fellow of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, and a member of many international professional societies. In addition to his work as scientist, engineer, editor, and consultant, he has gained worldwide recognition as a photographer.

The early work on the subject and related topics is reviewed by Roberson, 1,2 Frye and Stearns, 3 Singer, 4 Ergin, 5 Gerlach, Debra, Beletsky, Fischell, Hughes, Sabroff, Hughes, Sabroff, 11 Mesch, 12 Shrivastava et al., 13 and many others. Shrivastava et al. 14 have reviewed at length various facets of attitude control systems. A relatively recent survey by Shrivastava¹⁵ deals with the effects of solar radiation pressure and atmosphere on librational response and its semipassive control. Since the 1960s, problems faced by spacecraft with flexible appendages¹⁶ have started to receive more attention. The literature on the subject is reviewed by Likins and Bouvier, 17 Hughes, 18 and Modi. 19 The importance and complexity of the subject becomes apparent from the fact that during the last 6-7 years, annual symposia and special sessions devoted to the dynamics and control of flexible spacecraft have become common features at aerospace conferences. Reference 20 presents a collection of abstracts of recent work on large, flexible systems.

The presence of environmental forces significantly affects the orbital motion of satellites. Trajectories of the near-Earth satellites are substantially changed by the atmosphere and the Earth's equatorial ablateness, while for the geostationary satellites, the Earth's equatorial ellipticity, the solar radiation pressure, and the attraction due to the sun and moon are the main sources of orbital perturbations. A vast amount of literature on these aspects is available. Some of the important studies on lifetime estimation of the near-Earth satellites and on orbital perturbation and stationkeeping of geostationary satellites were reviewed by King-Hele²¹ and Shrivastava,²² respectively. The interaction of spacecraft and environment in the form of electrical charging, magnetization, heating, and so on, is the subject of a recent book,23 which contains a number of excellent review articles. In view of this, the present paper is confined only to the topics mentioned earlier. With over 1000 published papers, reports, and theses directly related to the limited scope of this paper, only a small fraction of them are reviewed here for conciseness.

The main objective is to help initiate researchers in this exciting field as well as to provide designers, working under tight time schedules, a quick source of information relevant to their projects.

Modeling of Environmental Forces and Torques

The sources of environmental effects on satellite attitude dynamics are many. They include: gravity gradient; rarefied atmosphere; solar radiation pressure; Earth's magnetic field; Earth's albedo and reflected radiations; micrometeorite impacts; cosmic dust; and so on. Of these, the first four being dominant and deterministic have received much attention. The Earth's albedo and reflected radiation can become significant for large near-Earth satellites. ²⁴ The last two and other less significant causes are random in nature and stochastic approaches have been applied to study their influence. They are not considered here.

A number of papers deal with the modeling of environmental torques. Most of them consider rigid spacecraft of simple geometry. A Roberson, Son Nidey, Carrol, Raymond, and Kane and Johansen samong others provide a thorough analysis of gravity-gradient and centrifugal forces acting on a rigid satellite of an arbitrary shape. Neglecting higher-order terms, the gravity-gradient torque can be expressed as

$$\bar{T}_G = 3 [(I_3 - I_2)mn\bar{i} + (I_1 - I_3)lnj + (I_2 - I_1)lm\bar{k}]\hat{\theta}^2/(I + e\cos\theta)$$
 (1)

where

 θ = true anomaly e = orbital eccentricity I_1, I_2, I_3 = principal moments of inertia ℓ, m, n = direction cosines of the Earth-satellite line with respect to the principal body axes

It is apparent that the torque vanishes²⁹ if 1) any two direction cosines are zero; 2) all the moments of inertia are equal; or 3) two of the moments of inertia are equal and one direction cosine is zero.

For altitudes up to 800 km, aerodynamic forces can significantly alter the attitude dynamics of satellites. For low perigee orbits, the aerodynamic torque is generally the most dominant environmental source of disturbance.³⁰ Wiggins,³⁰ Evans,³¹ Singer,⁴ Hughes,¹⁰ Karr and Yen,³² Tidwell,³³ and van Woerkom³⁴ have modeled the aerodynamic torque on satellites of different shapes. It can be expressed as

$$\bar{T}_A = \bar{\epsilon} \times \bar{F}_A \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the position vector of the center of pressure with respect to the center of mass of the satellite. The precise determination of \bar{F} is a bit involved. However, it can be closely approximated by

$$\bar{F}_A = 0.5 \rho_a V^2 A C_D \tag{3}$$

where

 $\rho_a = \text{atmospheric density}$

 \ddot{V} = velocity of the satellite relative to the atmosphere

A = characteristic area

 $C_D = \text{drag coefficient}$

The difficulties arise due to very large variations in ρ with the height, relative position of the sun, season, solar activity, and local currents. ²¹ For accuracy, one also has to account for the atmospheric rotation and oblateness of the Earth. ⁸

The solar radiation pressure exerted by the incident rays on a surface due to the transfer of momentum from the photons is small (10^{-5} N/m², perfectly reflecting body). However, with the present trends toward large, lightweight space systems, it can be the dominant source of attitude and orbital perturbations, especially for the geostationary spacecraft. The force due to the solar radiation on an elemental area, dA, can be written as²⁴

$$\bar{F}_S = (S/C) \left[\cos \xi \right] \left\{ (I - \Upsilon - \rho) \, \bar{n} + 2\rho \cos \xi \bar{\rho} \right\} dA \tag{4}$$

where

 $\cos \xi = \bar{n} \cdot \bar{p}$

 \bar{n} = unit vector normal to the surface

 \bar{p} = direction of the incident ray

S =solar constant

C =speed of light

 τ, ρ = transmissibility and reflectivity of the surface, respectively

For different geometries of satellites the solar radiation pressure torque is modeled by several authors. 14,24,30,31,33,35-39 The presence of the Earth's shadow, secondary reflections, and degradation of satellite surface with time complicate the model.

The residual permanent magnetism of ferromagnetic materials used in satellite, closed-loop currents in the instrumentation, and eddy currents induced due to the satellite motion in the Earth's magnetic field make it behave as a magnetic dipole. This results in a magnetic torque

$$\bar{T}_m = \bar{M} \times \bar{B} \tag{5}$$

where \bar{M} is the dipole moment of the satellite and \bar{B} the local geomagnetic induction. \bar{M} is generally determined experimentally. The Earth's magnetic field can be specified in a number of ways depending on the purpose and required accuracy of the model. For most applications, it can be approximated as a small magnetic dipole with a strength of 8.06×10^{25} unit-pole-cm $(8.06\times10^{5}$ Wb-m) at the center of the Earth whose axis is tilted at an angle of 11.5 deg with respect to the geographical polar axis. $^{40.44}$ For a more accurate model, the known magnetic field data at various points

in space can be combined together by spherical harmonic expansion using a digital computer.⁴⁵ At high altitudes, the field is affected by the sun-spot activity and solar emissions. Using this simple model, many authors have determined the magnetic torque acting on a satellite.^{4,9,12,30,33,40-43} Modeling of the torque due to eddy currents on simple symmetrical geometries has also received considerable attention.^{33,45-48} In modeling the torque, one should be careful to use appropriate units, otherwise even a sophisticated analysis would lead to incorrect results, as in Refs. 33 and 47.

These models are useful in the dynamical analysis, design of attitude control system, simulation, attitude determination, as well as in the study of the environment itself.

Effect of Environmental Disturbances on Satellite Attitude Dynamics

In general, the environmental forces disturb the satellite attitude which must be controlled. Most of the early satellites were spin-stabilized. Then came the concept of dual-spin. Now the majority of the satellites are three-axis stabilized using momentum exchange devices, reaction jets, and electromagnets. A large number of studies deal with the effect of environmental disturbances on spin, dual-spin, and three-axis stabilized rigid satellites.

The motion of a rigid symmetric spinning satellite in a circular orbit subjected to gravity-gradient and aerodynamic torques, and orbital nodal regression is studied by Beletsky, 8,49,50 analytically as well as numerically. The analytical predictions agree with the motion of Sputnik III. Duboshin⁵¹ has examined stability of spinning satellites subjected to the Earth's gravity-gradient field and shown the opposite behavior of prolate and oblate bodies. For a satellite with spin axis normal to the orbital plane, Thomson⁵² has presented a stability criterion using linearized analysis, while Pringle⁵³ investigated motion in the large employing the Hamiltonian as a Liapounov function. Asymmetry is taken into account by Kane and Shippy⁵⁴ applying the Floquet theory. The same approach is applied later by Kane and Barba⁵⁵ to study librational motion in the small, for a satellite in an orbit of arbitrary eccentricity. Wallace and Meirovitch⁵⁶ applied an asymptotic analysis in conjunction with Liapounov's direct method and constructed stability diagrams in a parametric space. Modi and Neilson^{57,58} described roll motion of a spinning satellite in an elliptic orbit using the WKBJ approximation and checked its validity through comparison with the numerical solution. The Floquet theory was extended by the authors to assess stability of periodic solutions of a slowly spinning satellite in the gravity-gradient field and circular or elliptic orbits.⁵⁹ Stability in the large was also investigated making use of the concept of integral manifold.60

Studies on dual-spin stabilized satellites taking the gravity torque into account are rare but offer, nevertheless, valuable results. Of particular interest is the conclusion by Kane and Mingori⁶¹ that the stability of undamped axisymmetric dualspin satellites is equivalent to that of the rigid spinning bodies. White and Likins⁶² extended the result to a slightly asymmetric system by making use of asymptotic expansions and resonance lines. The contributions of Roberson et al. 63,64 and Yu⁶⁵ should also be noted here. They determined equilibrium positions of a single rigid body containing a symmetric, constant speed, fixed-axis rotor, also called a gyrostat, in the presence of gravity forces. Fueschel et al.66 have discussed the effect of gravity-gradient and atmospheric torques with a pendulum-type nutation damper on the despun part, and determined the transient decay time constant. Longman⁶⁷ proved that at a critical spin rate, a dual-spin satellite subjected to the gravity-gradient torque can tumble.

For a three-axis stabilized satellite, the gravity-gradient torque acts as a disturbance unless the configuration of the satellite is such that its maximum moment of inertia axis is perpendicular to the orbit and the minimum moment of inertia axis is along the local vertical. In elliptic orbits, the disturbing effect is more pronounced due to the presence of periodic forcing terms. For satellites with a large difference in the principal moments of inertia the gravity-gradient torque is higher.

As mentioned earlier, for satellites at an altitude less than 800 km, the rarefied atmosphere is a significant source of attitude perturbations. For low-altitude satellites it can be the most dominant environmental torque. In an early study, Debra⁶⁸ has discussed the variation in equilibrium configuration for two gravity-stabilized satellites. Beletsky⁴⁹ treats the force as a small perturbing source acting on a rapidly spinning system. Through a linearized analysis, Schrello⁶⁹ shows that the aerodynamic torque may exceed the gravity-gradient moment even at altitudes nearing 500 km. The influence of a constant moment acting on a gravitystabilized system is determined through an infinitesimal analysis by Garber. 70 More directly, Sarychev, 71,72 with a particular reference to Russian satellites, has derived governing equations of motion and determined the necessary and adequate conditions for asymptotic stability of the eigen oscillations which are also caused by the rotating atmosphere. Meirovitch and Wallace⁷³ established the regions of guaranteed stability for a slowly spinning system with a constant aerodynamic force. For two configurations, the stability of equilibrium positions was studied using Liapounov's direct method. Morozov^{74,75} includes the magnetic effects and finds conditions for stability of the steady-state behavior of a gyrostat. Kopnin⁷⁶ includes the aerodynamic lift, which is considerably smaller than the drag, in the equations of motion. Johnson⁷⁷ has derived an expression for the aerodynamic drag leading to spin decay of the near-Earth rotating satellites. Even a relatively stable dualspin spacecraft, SAS-A, exhibited susceptibility to atmospheric perturbations.^{66,78} Nurre⁷⁹ shows that the presence of inertial or geometric asymmetries can result in instability due to interactions with the atmosphere. For an arbitrarily shaped body, Frik⁸⁰ finds that if the aerodynamic forces were conservative, at least one stable equilibrium position would exist. For nonconservative forces no stable orientation is possible.

The planar libration of a gravity-stabilized satellite, modeled as a plate in an elliptic orbit and subjected to various radiations and aerodynamic forces is investigated by Flanagan and Modi.⁸¹ A more complete analysis accounting for the transverse motion as well, for a cylindrical satellite moving in an arbitrary orbit and subjected to the gravitygradient and aerodynamic torques, is presented by Shrivastava and Modi. 82-85 On establishing the stability of equilibrium through infinitesimal as well as Liapounov's direct approach, detailed response and stability studies were carried out.82 The concept of integral manifold was successfully extended to axisymmetric satellites in circular orbits. Three distinct types of motion corresponding to the fundamental and other periodic solutions was noted. The critical Hamiltonian representing the strength of the maximum permissible disturbances was established through the Floquet theory. 83 An approximate solution in terms of elliptic function was also found using the constant first integral of the system.84 Under the combined influence of eccentricity and atmosphere, the equilibrium changes continuously and the response gets much more complicated. The stability bounds also shrink very rapidly,85 putting a severe limitation on the effectiveness of the gravity-gradient moment for stabilization of near-Earth satellites. Continuing his earlier studies Beletsky⁸⁶ discusses rotations of the Proton satellite as affected by the atmosphere.

All the studies referred to above are deterministic in their approach and assume a rather simple model for a complex and uncertain phenomenon. Random nature of the distur-

bance is analyzed by Sheporaitis.⁸⁷ A parametric stability region is determined using a stochastic Liapounov function and probability estimates of the convergence to equilibrium position given. Mitchell⁸⁸ also has considered satellite response to random disturbances.

The presence of solar radiation pressure has been known for a long time. However, in the early designs of satellites not much attention was paid to its effect. Roberson⁸⁹ has estimated its order of magnitude. The attitude and orbit perturbations of Echo, Vanguard, and spinup of Explorer XII, which were later attributed to solar radiation pressure by Bryant, 90 Evans, 31 and Fedor, 91 have emphasized the necessity of a careful consideration of this effect in design and operation of even small satellites. McElvain³⁵ obtained an analytic expression for the direct solar radiation torque and determined, for two geometries, the change in the satellite's angular momentum necessary to maintain a specified orientation. In a later study, 92 he suggested ways to minimize the solar torque on the gravity-stabilized satellite ATS by optimal balance of surfaces and their characteristics. Karymov⁹³ has derived equations of librational motion of a sun-orbiting satellite and analyzed the stability of equilibrium along the local vertical. The derivation, however, is needlessly complicated. Clancy and Mitchell⁹⁴ have presented a more complete analysis accounting for three major sources of radiations, namely, the sun, the Earth, and reflections from the Earth and its atmosphere. The dynamical behavior of the system is represented as the motion of and about the angular momentum vector. The resulting force expressions, given in an integral form, were evaluated numerically. The computational effort involved was extremely demanding. Whisnant and Anand⁹⁵ noticed a possibility of excitation of resonant motion across the orbital plane, due to radiations, for GEOS-II. This was later confirmed through observations. 96 A detailed study of planar librations of a flat plate in an eccentric, ecliptic orbit subjected to the solar, Earth, and Earth-reflected radiations is due to Flanagan and Modi. 24,81,97-99 The closed-form characteristic of the force expressions²⁵ make them ideally suited for attitude dynamics studies. The response under solar radiation pressure was analyzed using the WKBJ approach. They also obtained altitude bounds where various environmental forces become significant. 81,88,99 The stability was found to shrink rapidly in the presence of orbital eccentricity and environmental forces. Modi and Kumar¹⁰⁰ considered solar radiation pressure acting on a cylindrical satellite. The cross-plane motion in circular orbits was also included in a later study. 101 Modi and Pande¹⁰² looked at the effect of solar radiation pressure on the attitude dynamics of a slowly spinning system and observed that it can be as important as the effect of gravitygradient and orbital eccentricity. Shrivastava and Hablani 103 presented a simple analysis of coupled librations of a gravitystabilized satellite in an arbitrary orbit subjected to solar radiation pressure. Useful stability manifolds in parametric space were obtained. As systems become larger and more complex, the effect of solar radiation pressure needs careful attention. This is established in a number of studies related to specific satellites. 37,38 Furthermore, in the design of attitude maneuvers, one may have to account for these disturbances. 104 It is interesting to note the configuration design of the Indian National Satellite (INSAT), which has a solar sail on a long astromast to compensate for the solar radiation pressure acting on the solar panels, which are all located on one side of the satellite. 105 For the proposed solar power satellite, 106 the solar radiation pressure will be a dominating source of orbit and attitude perturbations.

A large number of studies deal with orbital perturbations due to solar radiation pressure. These are reviewed by Shrivastava.²² The thermoelastic behavior due to solar radiations is considered in a later section.

Interaction with the Earth's magnetic field is a major source of disturbance for satellites below 1500 km. As the

Earth's field varies as the inverse cube of the distance from the center of the Earth, the magnetic torque disturbing the satellite attitude may not be significant at large distances. For three-axis stabilized satellites, the magnetic torque mainly arises from the dipole moment of the satellite and tends to disturb the attitude. Magnetization and demagnetization of the ferromagnetic material onboard led to hysteresis damping while the eddy currents generated in a spin-stabilized satellite result in reduction of the spin. These have been studied by a few investigators, with the major emphasis on the last problem. 4,9,30,33,40-48,74,75,107-110 Cohen and Savet 111 have analyzed the attitude dynamics of a huge electromagnet orbiting around the Earth. They found that it will oscillate about the Earth's local magnetic field with an amplitude determined by the initial misalignment. Even in the case of perfect alignment, an oscillation of a few degrees with respect to the field will take place. The analytical solutions for spin decay due to eddy currents, derived recently by Shrivastava and Shivananda,48 are of interest. To some extent it is possible to reduce the undesirable influence of the magnetic torque by:

- 1) minimizing the use of ferromagnetic materials;
- 2) designing the electrical circuits in a way so as to minimize the dipole moment of the satellite; and
- 3) reducing the thickness and interrupting continuity of the conducting structural material to minimize eddy currents.

Use of Environmental Forces for Passive and Semipassive Attitude Control of Satellites

From the foregoing it is apparent that the environmental forces play a significant role in the attitude dynamics of satellites. A judicious design of the system can change their role, making them useful for stabilization and control. A vast body of literature is now available on the use of gravity-gradient and magnetic torques to that end. Some attention has also been devoted to the utilization of solar radiation pressure and aerodynamic forces to achieve the same effect.

Gravity Gradient

Gravity-gradient forces the satellite to align its minor axis along the local vertical. This natural tendency makes it ideally suited to the Earth-oriented communications, Earth resources, and meteorological satellites. The simplicity of the system has attracted the attention of the designers from the beginning of the space era. This is apparent from the review papers^{1-8,11,13,14} and the proceedings of the two symposia on gravity-gradient systems^{112,113} held during the 1960s.

Gravity gradient provides a passive means of stabilization. In elliptic orbits the forced planar librations are always present with the amplitude (in radians) approximately equal to the eccentricity of the orbit. As the difference diminishes and the orbital eccentricity increases, the stability of the system is adversely affected. The pioneering contributions to the subject are due to Klemperer¹¹⁴ and Baker, ¹¹⁵ who obtained analytic solutions for planar motion. Michelson¹¹⁶ found the equilibrium orientation, while Beletsky¹¹⁷ focused the attention on resonance effects for satellites in elliptic orbits. Zlatousov et al.118 obtained periodic solutions of the planar motion. A comprehensive study of planar libration is due to Modi and Brereton, who employed the concept of integral manifolds and analytical techniques to determine the bounds of stability in the large 119,120 and the periodic solutions. 121,122 They observed that at the critical eccentricity for stability, the only available solution is a periodic one and gravity-gradient stabilization is not possible beyond an orbital eccentricity of 0.35, even for the most stable dumbbell system. For higher eccentricities one may need to introduce a moving

In an early study, Kane¹²⁴ has shown that for a triaxial satellite strong coupling may exist between pitch, roll, and

yaw motions. In fact, even resonant motion, leading to instabilities are possible for certain inertia ratios. ¹²⁵ Bounds of librations are established by Auelmann, ¹²⁶ Pringle, ¹²⁷ and Beletsky. ¹²⁸ A linearized analysis of the near-resonant librations is presented by Hitzl, ^{129,130} Stability and response of coupled nonlinear librations of an axisymmetric satellite in circular and elliptic orbits are studied by Modi and Shrivastava. ^{82,85,131,132} The study establishes the importance of the inertia parameter and periodic solutions. It is noted that depending upon the system parameters and disturbances, the satellite may execute regular, "island type," or ergodic motion.

The above studies deal with undamped motion of gravity-gradient stabilized satellites. Several types of passive and semipassive dampers have been proposed and used for the same class of spacecraft. Review of the vast body of literature in that area is outside the scope of this paper. From the analytical point of view, however, several contributions should be recognized: Pringle¹³³ gave several theorems of stability of damped systems; Bainum and Mackison¹³⁴ analyzed in detail performance of the DODGE satellite; Modi and Tschann¹³⁵ studied the nonlinear behavior of damped systems; and Murphy and Kane¹³⁶ derived explicit attitude stability criteria for flexible damped gravity-stabilized systems.

Several satellites, notably GEOS-A, DODGE, and RAE, have successfully demonstrated the effectiveness of the concept. Chobotov¹³⁷ has proposed a gravity-stabilized solar power satellite. Gravity-gradient torque can also be employed for momentum desaturation of control moment gyros and reaction wheels.¹³⁸ The concept is attractive due to its simplicity, long life, and reliability. The main problem, however, is with the low-accuracy design of an effective damper and high susceptibility to other environmental disturbances mentioned earlier.

Solar Radiation Pressure

The solar radiation pressure generally affects the performance of spacecraft adversely. A judicious design of the system can change its role, making it useful for stabilization and control. Such a possibility was first suggested by Garwin, 139 who proposed "solar-sailing" for interplanetary missions. Though requiring a huge surface, it was shown to take less time than chemical rockets for a journey to a distant planet. It was also thought to be simpler in operation and easier to implement compared to its main competitor, ion propulsion. Sohn¹⁴⁰ suggests the use of a weathervane-type solar attitude stabilizer. Frye and Stearns³ consider a trailing cone for the purpose. Avoiding rather cumbersome appendages, Newton¹⁴¹ prefers a satellite to be a big sphere having two types of coatings—one portion reflecting and the other absorbing. The local heating in such a system, however, could create problems. A possibility of increasing the effectiveness of the available force by focusing it through a set of reflecting and collecting mirrors is suggested by Hibbard. 142 Ule 143 has designed and built an array of windmill-type mirrors with corner reflectors that could maintain the axis of rotation sun-oriented and could regulate the spin rate. In absence of damping the effectiveness of all these systems would be limited. Accord and Nicklas 144 evolved a unique passive stabilizer, technically feasible, which could also provide damping, through a thermomechanical phase-lag component. The system, now patented, 145 is said to be better than the conventional ones. Donlin and Randall's model¹⁴⁶ is essentially similar. Mar and Vigneron¹⁴⁷ proposed the use of the shape deformations of a balloon satellite of the type of Echo-II for spinup. Galitiskaya and Kisler¹⁴⁸ analyzed a set of for three-axis stabilization and qualitatively established their optimum inclinations for the maximum utilization of the solar pressure. Several theoretical studies, 149-154 carried out at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, consider the dynamics, generally through

linearization, of both spinning and nonspinning, sun-orbiting spacecraft stabilized by the solar radiation pressure. Aside from the conventional dampers, a possibility of using the lag in thermal reradiation from the spacecraft's surface to produce nonconservative torques was investigated. The last of the above studies has presented a workable design of a threeaxis controller for a small probe. Dzhumanoliev¹⁵⁵ has analyzed the small oscillations of a sun-pointing spacecraft with two coatings, which resulted in a net control moment. A system of connected bodies to impart damping to a sunpointing solar stabilizer is suggested by Merrick et al. 156 To maintain spin and axis orientation, Crocker¹⁵⁷ proposed sets of shining and black paddles. The spring-mounted paddles provided a desired change in attitude automatically. A preliminary analysis of the system ignoring the gravity effects and assuming existence of a damper showed promise.

It is recognized that the gravity-oriented systems need damping to be effective. As discussed earlier, they are also susceptible to even small disturbances. Mallach, 158 using a phase-plane analysis and average torques, has considered the use of a solar pressure damper. Modi et al. suggested several semipassive systems involving a controlled change of area, moment arm, or angle of incidence. The first one is a simple velocity-sensitive pitch damper. Its success both in circular and elliptic orbits under large external disturbances 159 has led to the development of a velocity- and position-sensitive controller that could stabilize the satellite in any desired orientation. 160 The difficulties in making area changes through unfurlable material, and so on, in space are overcome by changing the moment arm. 101 This idea was later extended to coupled motion in a circular orbit of an arbitrary inclination. 161

For dual-spin stabilized spacecraft, Modi and Pande^{162,163} showed nutation damping and attitude control to be possible through a set of rotatable panels interacting with the solar pressure. A generalized analysis for vehicles in arbitrary orbit was developed. Its application to INTELSAT-IV and Anik-1 showed the transient time to be only one-eighth of an orbit with the steady-state errors completely removed through a modified control function. The system was optimized numerically. 164,165 Pande has also designed an attitude control scheme using the solar radiation pressure for a spin-stabilized system¹⁶⁶ and pitch control of an inertially fixed asymmetric satellite. 167 A scheme for solar pitch control of gravitystabilized satellites in elliptic orbits is presented by Kumar and Joshi. 168,169 Two different designs of three-axis attitude control for geostationary communication satellites was presented by Stuck. 170 In an earlier study, Shrivastava et al. 171 designed a three-axis solar controller suitable for stationkeeping and attitude control of a geostationary satellite. For fine attitude control of an Earth observation satellite, Chretien et al. 172 used a similar concept of asymmetrical rotating solar array.

It may be mentioned that a solar pressure controller has been successfully tested, despite some initial difficulties, on Mariner IV spacecraft.¹⁷³ With the present trend toward construction of large space systems, this form of essentially passive stabilization procedure may find itself in vogue.

Aerodynamic Forces

The aerodynamic forces have a substantial influence on the attitude motion of the near-Earth systems. Unlike the solar radiation pressure, the literature on favorable application of aerodynamic forces is rather small. This may be due to the complex nature of the free molecular forces, rotation of atmosphere, and strong dependence of density on height, season, sun-position, and local variations. The lack of a complete understanding of the atmospheric model also adds to the limited effort in their utilization. Yet, the few studies that have been made do show a feasibility of designing an effective aerodynamic attitude controller, which might also add to the life of the near-Earth systems. Such possibilities are

indicated qualitatively in the early analyses by Debra and Stearns, ¹⁷⁴ Wall, ¹⁷⁵ and Schrello. ¹⁷⁶ The success of aerodynamic pitch control, with the roll and yaw stabilized by gyros, is exhibited by COSMOS-149. ¹⁷⁷ In a model suggested by Hoffer, ¹⁷⁸ the gyros were replaced by a set of moving masses. Here the planar librations were reduced by a pair of drag flaps operating in an on-off fashion. This system may be difficult to implement because of the large changes in inertia. Ravindran and Hughes ¹⁷⁹ optimized, through linearization, a set of aerodynamic controller paddles for a satellite in a circular orbit. The system provided stabilization along the local horizontal. Using Liapounov criteria, the stability of such a controller was also studied by Flanagan and Rangarajan. ¹⁸⁰

For the general case of nonlinear, coupled motion in an arbitrary orbit, Modi and Shrivastava⁸⁵ proposed several schemes of rotatable flaps which use both lift and drag. The velocity-sensitive controller resulted in an effective damping and stabilization of a gravity-gradient system. Later, an aerodynamic controller capable of stabilizing the system about any arbitrary spatial orientation was evolved using a velocity and position-sensitive strategy. Through parametric optimization, ¹⁸¹ the transient time was reduced to less than one-third orbit and the steady-state amplitude (in elliptic orbits) below 1 deg.

Noting the success of solar controllers and realizing the limitations of aerodynamic systems in elliptic orbits, where the usable force may be significant only near the perigee, a simple hybrid controller employing atmospheric forces at lower altitudes and solar pressure at upper levels was developed. 182 The analysis is general enough to be applicable to an aerodynamic, solar, or hybrid system. A modified control relation removes the steady-state errors even for large eccentricities. A similar system for a spinning satellite was also analyzed. 183 In a recent study Pande and Venkatachalam 184 optimized an aerodynamic controller for a spin-stabilized spacecraft minimizing orbital energy loss, control surface excursion, and speed of response.

Magnetic Torque

Use of the Earth's magnetic field for attitude control has received much attention from the beginning of the space era. Systems with permanent magnets; hysteresis dampers; aircored, current-carrying coils; and ferromagnetic electromagnets have been tested for the control of spin, dual-spin, and three-axis stabilized spacecraft either by themselves or in conjunction with other control actuators. Of the numerous studies on the subject, only a few are referred to here.

Magnetic torquing as a primary mode of attitude stabilization was first suggested by Kamm¹⁸⁵ and White et al. 40 for control of a nonspinning spacecraft about two axes. Fischell¹⁸⁶ analyzed magnetic orientation phenomena for equatorial and polar orbits. He also recommended several passive dampers using highly hysteric rods 187 kept orthogonal to the stabilizing magnet. Chan 188 derived equations of motion including restoring and damping torques, while Mesch¹² formulated the problem accounting for electromagnets. Aiguabella 189 studied the magnetically stabilized ESRO-I. Kammuller¹⁹⁰ analyzed the roll motion of magnetically oriented satellites and found that resonant oscillatory or rotational roll motion at twice the orbital frequency may be induced due to forcing terms. The manifold of resonant roll motion and their dependence on the inertia ratio were discussed leading to an optimal policy for the selection of these parameters to insure stable motion.

Several investigators have suggested the use of a single magnetic dipole aligned with the spin axis as a possible means of attitude control of a rigid spinning spacecraft. Ergin and Wheeler¹⁹¹ and Mobley¹⁹² have discussed specific applications of this configuration for keeping the spin axis normal to the orbital plane. Renard¹⁹³ suggested a quarter orbit bang-bang control scheme suitable for near-polar orbits to perform spin-

axis acquisition and control, which was ground commanded. Wheeler⁴² developed an automatic scheme for circular orbits using magnetometers and rate gyros. The difficulty lies in the change of magnetometer reading due to magnetotorquers. Glass¹⁹⁴ has touched upon basic principles for designing the magnetic coils. Tossman¹⁹⁵ was probably the first to consider active nutation damping and despin control. His scheme used passive hysteresis rods. A control law based on the asymptotic stability criterion for the spin-axis and spin-rate control using two dipoles, one along the spin axis and the other normal to it, was suggested by Shigehara. 196 Using a two-coil system, Sorenson^{197,198} employs the Kalman filter and derives an optimal control law for a highly eccentric orbit. Collins and Bonello 199 discuss several autonomous magnetic control schemes that provide nutation as well as precessional control. Several satellites including Tiros, 200,201, LES-4,202 LES-5,203 DME-A,²⁰⁴ RAE,¹⁹⁵ AEROS,²⁰⁵ HEAO,²⁰⁶ S³-A,²⁰⁷ and Bhaskara²⁰⁸ have successfully used some of the concepts discussed above.

A number of studies deals with the use of magnetic torquers in conjunction with dual-spin, momentum-biased wheels, reaction wheels, and control moment gyros. The torque is used for control, momentum dumping, or librational damping. Using a single magnetic torquing coil on the despun platform, control of a dual-spin stabilized satellite is proposed by Sonnabend.²⁰⁹ The concept of STABILITE, a flywheelstabilized magnetically torqued satellite,210 uses a magnetic coil with axis normal to the wheel. In a series of recent studies, Alfriend et al.211-213 have developed several closed-loop magnetic control laws in the presence of momentum bias. The system works in an autonomous mode and the concepts are simple to implement. Equatorial orbits present special problems because torquing capability is always weak along the orbit normal. However, with a judicious design of a wheel with magnetic torque, it can be used even for geosynchronous orbits. LES-5,203 RCA's SATCOM,214 and APPLE215 have proved the validity of this concept. Modi and Pande²¹⁶ proposed a hybrid controller using magnetic torque and solar pressure for three-axis nutation damping and attitude control of dual-spin satellites in near-equatorial orbits. It is shown that bang-bang controllers can effectively impart any arbitrary orientation to the satellite. Spencer²¹⁷ developed proportional control laws for slewing maneuvers and wheelspeed corrections. Lacombe²¹⁸ analyzed the feasibility of magnetic torquing in the case of a single-degree-of-freedom control of large geostationary satellites. Goel and Rajaram²¹⁵ designed a closed-loop control scheme which performs both attitude correction and nutation damping for a momentumbiased satellite in near-equatorial orbits.

The use of magnetic torque for momentum dumping of momentum wheels, reaction wheels, and control moment gyros has been a subject of numerous studies. Among the early investigations is the one by McElvain²¹⁹ where various methods of momentum dumping such as continuous, optimum intermittent, and nonoptimum intermittent are considered. An optimal momentum dumping scheme with a special reference to Skylab is studied by Levidow and Kranjon.²²⁰ Buckingham and Braumiller²²¹ considered momentum desaturation of control moment gyros for a space station. Stakem²²² suggested a passive magnetic torquer for momentum dumping while Krishna et al.²²³ used two air-core coils to the same end for a reaction control system and applied it to near-Earth satellites.

Environmental Forces and Flexible Spacecraft

Since the past decade there has been a growing trend toward large, lightweight, flexible spacecraft. With the success of the Space Shuttle one may soon see satellites ranging from a few meters to several hundred meters in size. For these satellites the influence of environmental forces will be necessarily significant. Also the high pointing accuracy requirement would dictate careful consideration of the environmental

effects. However, unlike the rigid satellites, the literature on the subject is quite sparse. 19 A few studies available have concentrated mainly on the effect of gravity-gradient or the thermoelastic behavior of satellite appendages. There is practically no study dealing with the effect of other environmental forces on a flexible spacecraft or on their utilization for control, even though they may prove to be ideal for attitude and shape control. In general, the analyses of rigid satellites cannot be extended to flexible systems. This is a useful and challenging area for further research.

References

¹Roberson, R.E., "A Review of the Current Status of Satellite Attitude Control," Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1959, p. 25.

²Roberson, R.E., "Methods for the Control of Satellites and Space Vehicles: Vol. 1-Sensing and Actuating Methods, Vol. 2-Control Mechanization and Analysis," Wright Air Development Centre, Div. Tech. Rept. 60-643, July 1960.

³Frye, W.E. and Stearns, E.V.B., "Stabilization and Attitude Control of Satellite Vehicles," ARS Journal, Vol. 29, 1959, pp. 227-

⁴Singer, S.F., ed., *Torques and Attitude Sensing in Earth Satellites*,

Academic Press, New York, 1961.

⁵Ergin, E.I., "Current Status of Progress in Attitude Control," Guidance and Control-II, Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, Vol. 13, Academic Press, New York, 1964, pp. 7-36.

⁶Gerlach, O.H., "Attitude Stabilization and Control of Earth Satellites," Space Sciences Reviews, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1965, pp. 541-582.

⁷Debra, D.B., "Principles and Developments in Passive Attitude Control," Special Astronautics Symposium, AAS Preprint No. 60-135, Berkeley, Calif., 1965.

⁸Beletsky, V.V., "Motion of an Artificial Satellite About its Center of Mass," NASA TTF-429, 1966.

⁹Fischell, R.E., "Spin Control for Earth Satellites," Peaceful Uses of Automation in Outer Space, edited by J.A. Aseltine, Plenum Press, New York, 1966, pp. 211-218.

10 Hughes, W.G., "Attitude Control," Spacecraft Technology,

Vol. II. Control and Stabilization, ESRO-SP-10, 1966.

11 Sabroff, A.E., "Advanced Spacecraft Stabilization and Control Techniques," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 5, 1968, pp. 1377-1393.

¹²Mesch, F., "Magnetic Components for the Attitude Control of Space Vehicles," IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. MAG-5,

1969, pp. 586-592.

¹³Shrivastava, S.K., Tschann, C., and Modi, V.J., "Librational Dynamics of Earth Oriented Satellites-A Brief Review," Proceedings of Fourteenth Congress on Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Kurukshetra, India, 1969, pp. 284-306.

¹⁴Shrivastava, S.K. et al., "Satellite Attitude Control: A Literature Review," Report No. VSSC-75-01-5001/IISc-75-FDC-1, Indian

Institute of Science, Bangalore, 1975.

15 Shrivastava, S.K., "Effects of Solar Radiation Pressure and Aerodynamic Forces on Satellite Attitude Dynamics and Their Utilization for Control: A Survey," Journal of the Indian Institute of Science, Vol. 58, 1976, pp. 391-411.

16"Effect of Structural Flexibility on Spacecraft Control Systems,"

NASA SP-8016, 1969.

¹⁷Likins, P.W. and Bouvier, H.K., "Attitude Control of Non-Rigid Spacecraft," *Astronautics & Aeronautics*, Vol. 9, May 1971,

pp. 64-71.

18 Hughes, P.C., "Recent Advances in Attitude Dynamics of Spacecraft with Flexible Solar Arrays," Canadian Aeronautics and Space Journal, Vol. 19, 1973, pp. 165-171.

¹⁹Modi, V.J., "Attitude Dynamics of Satellites with Flexible Appendages—A Brief Review," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 11, 1974, pp. 743-751.

²⁰ "Technology for Large Space Systems: A Special Bibliography

with Indexes," NASA, SP-7046, 1979.

21 King-Hele, D.G., "Methods of Predicting Satellite Orbital Lifetimes," Journal of British Interplanetary Society, Vol. 31, 1978,

pp. 181-196.

22 Shrivastava, S.K., "Orbital Perturbations and Station-Keeping of Communication Satellites," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets,

Vol. 15, No. 2, 1978, pp. 67-78.

²³ Space Systems and Their Interaction with Earth's Space Environment, AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, Vol. 71. 1980.

²⁴Flanagan, R.C. and Modi, V.J., "Radiation Forces on a Flat Plate in Ecliptic Near-Earth Orbits," CASI Transactions, Vol. 3, 1970, pp. 147-158.

²⁵ Roberson, R.E., "Gravitational Torque on a Satellite Vehicle,"

Journal of Franklin Insitute, Vol. 265, 1958, pp. 13-22.

²⁶Nidey, R.A., "Gravitational Torque on a Satellite of Arbitrary Shape," ARS Journal, Vol. 30, 1960, pp. 203-204.

Carrol, P.S., "Torques on a Satellite Due to Gravity Gradient

and Centrifugal Force," AIAA Journal, Vol. 2, 1964, pp. 2220-2222.

Raymond, F.W., "Gravity-Gradient Torque on Artificial Satellites—A Method of Calculation," Astronautica Acta, Vol. 12, 1966, pp. 33-38.

²⁹ Kane, T.R. and Johansen, K.F., "Gravitational Stabilization of a Satellite in a Fixed Inertial Orientation," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 9, 1972, pp. 493-498.

30 Wiggins, L.E., "Relative Magnitude of Space Environment Torques on a Satellite," AIAA Journal, Vol. 2, 1964, pp. 770-771.

³¹Evans, W.J., "Aerodynamic and Radiation Disturbance Torques on Satellite Having Complex Geometry," Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 9, 1962, pp. 93-99.

32 Karr, G.R. and Yen, S.M., "Aerodynamic Torque on a Spinning

Spherical Satellite with Application to Measurement of Accommodation Coefficients," Astronautica Acta, Vol. 14, 1969, pp.

³³Tidwell, N.W., "Modelling of Environmental Torques of a Spin Stabilized Spacecraft in a Near-Earth Orbit," Journal of Spacecraft

and Rockets, Vol. 7, 1970, pp. 1425-1433.

³⁴van Woerkom, P. Th. L.M., "Generalized Models for the Study of Interaction Between Spacecraft and Atmosphere," presented at 25th International Astronautical Federation Congress, Amsterdam, 1974; National Aerospace Laboratory, The Netherlands, Report No. NLR-MP-74027.

35 McElvain, R.J., "Effects of Solar Radiation Pressure Upon Satellite Attitude Control," ARS Preprint 1918-61, 1961.

³⁶Dunn, S.S., "Spacecraft Disturbance Torques," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-68, 1968, pp. 691-694.

"Spacecraft Radiation Torques," NASA SP-8027, 1969.

³⁸ Georgevick, R.M., "The Solar Radiation Pressure Force and Torque Model," *Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, Vol. 20, 1973, pp.

257-274.

39 Stassinopoulos, E.G., "The Geostationary Radiation Environment," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 17, 1980, pp.

145-152.

40 White, J.S., Shigemato, F.H., and Bourguin, K., "Satellite Parth's Magnetic Field." NASA TND-1068, 1961,

⁴¹ Hodge, W.F. and Blacksheer, W.T., "An Analytical Study of the Magnetic Field Encountered by Artificial Earth Satellites in Circular Orbits," NASA TND-2041, 1964.

⁴²Wheeler, P.C., "Spinning Spacecraft Attitude Control Via the Environmental Magnetic Field," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 4, 1967, pp. 1631-1637.

⁴³ Eller, T.J. and Wagie, D.A., "Earth's Magnetic Field Models for Dumping Momentum Magnetically on GPS Satellites," AIAA Paper 80-1644, AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Conference, 1980.

44Whisnant, J.M. et al., "Dynamic Modelling of Magnetic Hysteresis," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 7, 1970, pp.

45"Computation of the Main Geomagnetic Field from Spherical Harmonic Expansions," NSSDC 68-11, National Space Science Data Center, 1968.

46 Rosenstock, H.B., "The Effect of the Earth's Magnetic Field on the Spin of the Satellite," Astronautica Acta, Vol. 3, 1957, pp. 215-

⁴⁷Smith, G.L., "A Theoretical Study of the Torques Induced by a Magnetic Field on Rotating Cylinders and Spinning Thin-Wall Cones, Cone Frustrums and General Body of Revolution," NASA TRR-129,

1962.

48 Shrivastava, S.K. and Shivananda, B., "Satellite Spin Decay Due Communical Sciences, Vol. 29, 1981, to Eddy Currents," Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 29, 1981, pp. 59-71.

⁴⁹Beletsky, V.V., "Motion of an Artificial Satellite About Centre of Mass," Artificial Earth Satellites, Vols. 1 and 2, Plenum Press, New York, 1960, pp. 30-54.

⁵⁰Beletsky, V.V., "New Investigations of Motion of an Artificial Satellite about Its Centre of Mass," Dynamics of Satellites, Academic Press, New York, 1964, pp. 175-190.

⁵¹Duboshin, G.N., "Rotational Motion of Artificial Bodies in Space," ARS Journal, 1961, pp. 1345-1350.

52 Thomson, W.T., "Spin Stabilization of Attitude Against Gravity Torque," Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 9, 1962, pp. 31-33.

53 Pringle, R. Jr., "Bounds of the Librations of a Symmetrical

Satellite," AIAA Journal, Vol. 2, 1964, pp. 908-912.

54 Kane, T.R. and Shippy, D.J., "Attitude Stability of a Spinning Unsymmetrical Satellite in A Circular Orbit," Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 10, 1963, pp. 114-119.

55 Kane, T.R. and Barba, P.M., "Attitude Stability of Spinning

Satellites in an Elliptic Orbit," Journal of Applied Mechanics, Vol.

33, 1966, pp. 402-405.
⁵⁶Wallace, F.B. Jr. and Meirovitch, L., "Attitude Instability Regions of a Spinning Symmetric Satellite in an Elliptic Orbit,'

AIAA Journal, Vol. 5, Sept. 1967, pp. 1642-1650.

57 Modi, V.J. and Neilson, J.E., "Roll Dynamics of a Spinning Axi-Symmetric Satellite Using the WKBJ Method," Journal of the

Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol. 72, 1968, pp. 807-810.

⁵⁸Modi, V.J. and Neilson, J.E., "Roll Dynamics of a Spinning Axisymmetric Satellite in an Elliptic Orbit," *Journal of the Royal*

Aeronautical Society, Vol. 72, 1968, pp. 1061-1065.

59 Modi, V.J. and Neilson, J.E., "On the Periodic Solutions of Slowly Spinning Gravity Gradient System," Celestial Mechanics, Vol.

5, 1972, pp. 126-143.

60 Modi, V.J. and Neilson, J.E., "Attitude Dynamics of Slowly Spinning Axisymmetric Satellites Under the Influence of Gravity-Gradient Torques," Proceedings of XX International Astronautical Congress, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1972, pp. 563-596.

61 Kane, T.R. and Mingori, D.L., "Effect of a Rotor on the At-

titude Stability of a Satellite in a Circular Orbit," AIAA Journal, Vol.

3, 1965, pp. 936-940.

62 White, E.W. and Likins, P.W., "The Influence of Gravity Torque on Dual-Spin Satellite Attitude Stability,"

Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 16, 1969, pp. 32-37.

⁶³ Roberson, R.E. and Hooker, W.W., "Gravitational Equilibria of a Rigid Body Containing Symmetric Rotors," *Proceedings of XVII* International Astronautical Congress, Gordon and Breach, Inc., New York, 1967, pp. 203-210.

⁶⁴Longman, R.W. and Roberson, R.E., "General Solutions for Equilibria of Orbiting Gyrostats Subject to Gravitational Torques,"

Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 16, 1969, pp. 49-58.

65 Yu, E.Y., "Attitude Stability of an Orbiting Vehicle Containing a Gyrostat," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 6, 1969, pp. 948-

951.

66 Fueschel, P.C., Bainum, P.M., and Grunberger, P.J., "Attitude Motion of a Nutationally Damped Dual-Spin Spacecraft in the Presence of Near-Earth Environment," Journal of Spacecraft and

Rockets, Vol. 8, 1971, pp. 913-914.

67 Longman, R.W., "Stable Tumbling Motions of a Dual-Spin Satellite Subjected to Gravitational Torques," AIAA Journal, Vol.

11, 1973, pp. 916-921.

68 Debra, D.B., "The Effect of Aerodynamic Forces on Satellite Attitude," *Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, Vol. 6, 1959, pp. 40-45.

69 Schrello, D.M., "Aerodynamic Influence on Satellite

Librations," ARS Journal, Vol. 31, 1961, pp. 442-444.

⁷⁰Garber, T.B., "Influence of Constant Disturbance Torques on the Motion of Gravity-Gradient Stabilized Satellite," AIAA Journal,

Vol. 1, 1963, pp. 968-969.

71 Sarychev, V.A., "The Influence of the Atmosphere Drag on Gravity Attitude Stabilization of Artificial Earth-Satellites," Kosmicheskie Issledovaniia, Vol. 2, 1964, pp. 667-678.

⁷²Sarychev, V.A., "Dynamics of a Satellite Gravitational Stabilization System with Consideration of Atmospheric Resistance," Proceedings of XI International Congress of Applied Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966, pp. 429-435.

Meirovitch, L. and Wallace, F.B. Jr., "On the Effect of Aerodynamic and Gravitational Torques on the Attitude Stability of

Satellites," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 4, 1966, pp. 2196-2202.

⁷⁴Morozov, V.M., "Stability of the Motion of a Gyrostat Under the Action of Gravitational, Magnetic and Aerodynamic Forces,"

Cosmic Research, Vol. 5, 1967, pp. 727-732.

75 Morozov, V.M., "Stability of the Relative Equilibrium of Satellite Acted Upon by Gravitational, Magnetic and Aerodynamic

Moments," Cosmic Research, Vol. 7, 1969, pp. 356-361.

76 Kopnin, Iu. M., "Equation of the Three Dimensional Motion of a Satellite with Aerodynamic Lift," Cosmic Research, Vol. 6, 1968,

pp. 318-325.

77 Johnson, K.R., "Effect of Dissipative Aerodynamic Torque on Satellite Rotation," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 5, 1968,

pp. 408-413. ⁷⁸ Anand, D.K., Whisnant, J.M., and Sturnamis, M., "Attitude Perturbations of a Slowly Spinning Multi-Body Satellite," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 6, 1969, pp. 324-326.

⁷⁹Nurre, G.S., "Effect of Aerodynamic Torque on an Asymmetric Gravity Stabilized Satellite," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol.

6, 1968, pp. 1046-1050.

80 Frik, M.A., "Attitude Stability of Satellites Subjected to Gravity-Gradient and Aerodynamic Torque," AIAA Journal, Vol. 8, 1970,

pp. 1780-1785.

81 Flanagan, R.C. and Modi, V.J., "Effect of Environmental Forces on the Attitude Dynamics of Gravity Oriented Satellites, Part III: Close-Earth Orbits Accounting for Aerodynamic Forces," Aeronautical Journal, Vol. 76, 1972, pp. 34-40.

82 Shrivastava, S.K. and Modi, V.J., "Effect of Atmosphere on Attitude Dynamics of Axisymmetric Satellites," Proceedings of XX International Astronautical Congress, 1969 (published in 1972),

International Astronautical Federation, Paris, pp. 535-562.

Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "On the Limiting Regular Stability and Periodic Solutions of a Gravity-Oriented System in the Presence of Atmosphere," CASI Transactions, Vol. 5, 1972, pp. 5-10.

84 Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "Approximate Solution for

Coupled Librations of an Axisymmetric Satellite in a Circular Orbit,"

AIAA Journal, Vol. 9, 1971, pp. 1212-1214.

85 Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "Librations of Gravity-Oriented Satellites in Elliptic Orbits through Atmosphere," AIAA

Journal, Vol. 9, 1971, pp. 2208-2215.

86 Beletsky, V.V., "The Effect of Aerodynamic Moments on the Motion of Proton Satellites," Zeitschrift für Rotational

Flugwissenschaften, Vol. 21, 1973, pp. 55-63. Sheporaitis, L.P., "Stochastic Stability of a Satellite Influenced by Aerodynamic and Gravity-Gradient Torques," AIAA Journal,

Vol. 9, 1971, pp. 218-222.

88 Mitchell, T.P., "The Motion of a Rigid Body About Its Center of Mass Under Random Torques," Proceedings of XXIV International Astronautical Congress, International Astronautical Federation, Paris, 1973, p. 279.

⁸⁹Roberson, R.E., "Attitude Control of a Satellite Vehicle—An Outline of the Problem," Proceedings of VIII International Astronautical Congress, International Astronautical Federation, Paris, 1958, pp. 317-339.

90 Bryant, R.W., "A Comparison of Theory and Observation of the

Echo I Satellite," NASA TND-1124, 1962.

91 Fedor, F.W., "The Effect of Solar Radiation Pressure on the

Spin of Explorer XII," NASA TND-1855, 1963.

92 McElvain, R.J. and Schwartz, L., "Minimization of Solar Radiation Pressure Effects for Gravity Stabilized Satellites," Journal

of Basic Engineering, ASME, Vol. 2, 1966, pp. 444-450.

Starymov, A.A., "Stability of Rotation of a Geometrically Symmetrical Artificial Satellite of the Sun in the Field of Light Pressure Forces," Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, P.M.I.M., Vol. 28, 1964, pp. 923-930.

⁹⁴Clancy, T.F. and Mitchell, T.P., "Effect of Radiation Forces on the Attitude of an Artificial Earth Satellite," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 2,

1964, pp. 517-524.

95 Whisnant, J.M. and Anand, D.K., "Roll Resonance for a Gravity-Gradient Satellite," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol.

5, 1968, pp. 743-744.

⁹⁶Whisnant, J.M., Waszkiewicz, P.R., and Piscane, V.L., "Attitude Performance of the GEOS-II Gravity-Gradient Spacecraft,"

Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 6, 1969, pp. 1379-1384.

97 Flanagan, R.C. and Modi, V.J., "Attitude Dynamics of a Gravity-Oriented Satellite Under the Influence of Solar Radiation Pressure," Aeronautical Journal, Vol. 74, 1970, pp. 835-841.

98 Modi, V.J. and Flanagan, R.C., "Effect of Environmental Forces on the Attitude of Gravity Oriented Satellites-Part I: High Altitude Orbits," Aeronautical Journal, Vol. 75, 1971, pp. 783-793.

Modi, V.J. and Flanagan, R.C., "Effect of Environmental Forces on the Attitude of Gravity-Oriented Satellites-Part II: Intermediate Orbits Accounting for Earth Radiation," Aeronautical Journal, Vol. 75, 1971, pp. 846-849.

100 Modi, V.J. and Kumar, K., "Librational Dynamics of Gravity-Oriented Satellite under the Influence of Solar Radiation Pressure, Proceedings of International Symposium on Computer Aided Engineering, Waterloo, 1971, pp. 359-377.

Modi, V.J. and Kumar, K., "Coupled Librational Dynamics and Attitude Control of Satellites in Presence of Solar Radiation Pressure," Astronautical Research, D. Reidel Publishing Co., 1971, pp. 37-52.

102 Modi, V.J. and Pande, K.C., "Solar Pressure Induced Librations of Spinning Axi-Symmetrical Satellites," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 10, 1973, pp. 615-617.

¹⁰³Shrivastava, S.K. and Hablani, H.B., "Analysis of Solar Radiation Pressure Induced Counled Librations of Gravity-Stabilized Axisymmetric Satellites," Celestial Mechanics, Vol. 20, 1979, pp. 297-

313.

104 van Woerkom, P. Th.L.M., "Multiple Attitude Maneuvers for a Spinning Spacecraft Disturbed by Solar Radiation," Rept. NLR-TR-78078U, National Aerospace Laboratory, The Netherlands, 1978.

105 Dhawan, S., Singh, J.P., and Kale, P.P., "INSAT-1-A Multipurpose Domestic Satellite System for India," *IEEE Trans*actions on Broadcasting, Vol. BC-25, 1979, pp. 121-127.

106 Kraft, C.C. Jr., "The Solar Power Satellite Concept," The von Karman Lecture, Fifteenth Annual Meeting of AIAA, 1979.

¹⁰⁷ Patapoff, H., "Attitude Drift of a Spin Stabilized Satellite Due to the Earth's Magnetic and Gravitational Field," XIV International

Astronautical Congress, Paper No. 66, 1963.

108 Boyle, J.C., Moshere, E.J., and Greybiebl, J.M., "Experimental Determination of Eddy Current Torques on Bodies Rotating Relative to a Uniform Magnetic Field," NASA TND-5086,

1969.

109 Khentov, A.A. and Kleer, V.J., "Oscillations of a Satellite State of the Energy Losses by About Its Centre of Mass with Allowance for Energy Losses by Magnetic Hysteresis," Kosmicheskie Issledovaniia, Vol. 8, 1970, pp.

701-709.

110 Khentov, A.A., "Permanent Rotation of an Equatorial Satellite Vol. 15, 1972, pp. 405-418.

111 Cohen, V.D. and Savet, P.H., "Attitude Dynamics of an Orbiting Electromagnet," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 11,

1974, pp. 252-254.

112 Proceedings of the Symposium on Passive Gravity-Gradient Stabilization, NASA SP-107, 1966.

113 Proceedings of the Symposium on Gravity-Gradient Attitude Stabilization, Aerospace Corporation, El Sugundo, Calif., 1968.

114Klemperer, W.B., "Satellite Librations of Large Amplitude," ARS Journal, Vol. 30, 1960, pp. 123-124.

115 Baker, R.M.L. Jr., "Librations on a Slightly Eccentric Orbit,"

ARS Journal, Vol. 30, 1960, pp. 124-126.

116 Michelson, I., "Equilibrium Orientation of Gravity Gradient Satellites," AIAA Journal, Vol. 1, 1963, p. 493.

¹¹⁷Beletsky, V.V., "The Librations of a Satellite on an Elliptic

Orbit," Dynamics of Satellites, edited by M. Roy, Academic Press, New York, 1963, pp. 219-230.

118 Zlatousov, V.A., Okhotsimky, D.E., Sarychev, V.A., and Torzhevsky, A.P., "Investigation of a Satellite Oscillations in the Plane of an Elliptic Orbit," Proceedings of XI International Congress of Applied Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964, pp. 434-439.

119 Brereton, R.C. and Modi, V.J., "On the Stability of Planar

Librations of a Dumbbell Satellite in an Elliptic Orbit," Aeronautical

Journal, Vol. 70, 1966, pp. 1098-1102.

120 Brereton, R.C. and Modi, V.J., "Stability of the Planar Librational Motion of a Satellite in an Elliptic Orbit," Proceedings of XVII International Astronautical Congress, Vol. IV, 1967, pp. 179-

192.

191 Modi, V.J. and Brereton, R.C., "On the Periodic Solutions Oriented System—Part I: Asociated with the Gravity-Gradient Oriented System-Part I: Analytical and Numerical Determination," AIAA Journal, Vol. 7,

1969, pp. 1217-1225.

122 Modi, V.J. and Brereton, R.C., "On the Periodic Solutions Associated with the Gravity-Gradient Oriented System-Part II: Stability Analysis," AIAA Journal, Vol. 7, 1969, pp. 1465-1468.

123 Lohfeld, R.E., Anand, D.K., and Whisnant, J.M., "Pitch Axis in Eccentric Orbit Using a Variable-Speed Rotor," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 11, 1974, pp. 430-432.

124 Kane, T.R., "Attitude Stability of Earth Pointing Satellites,"

AIAA Journal, Vol. 3, 1965, pp. 726-731.

125 Breakwell, J.V. and Pringle, R. Jr., "Nonlinear Resonance Affecting Gravity-Gradient Stability," Proceedings of XVI International Astronautical Federation Congress, 1966, pp. 305-325.

126 Auelmann, R.R., "Regions of Librations for a Symmetrical

Satellite," AIAA Journal, Vol. 1, 1963, pp. 1445-1447.

¹²⁷Pringle, R. Jr., "Bounds On Librations of a Symmetrical Satellite," AIAA Journal, Vol. 1964, pp. 908-912.

128 Beletsky, V.V., "Libration Boundaries of a Triaxial Satellite in a Gravitational Field," Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 31, 1967, pp. 1107-1111.

129 Hitzl, D.L., "Resonant Attitude Instabilities for a Symmetric Satellite in a Circular Orbit," *Celestial Mechanics*, Vol. 5, 1972, pp.

¹³⁰Hitzl, D.L., "Resonant Attitude Instabilities for a Symmetric Satellite in a Circular Orbit," AIAA Journal, Vol. 7, 1969, pp. 1039-

¹³¹Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "Coupled Librational Motion of an Axi-Symmetric Satellite in a Circular Orbit," Aeronautical Journal, Vol. 73, pp. 674-680.

132 Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "Effect of Inertia on Coupled Librations of Axi-Symmetric Satellites in Circular Orbit," CASI Transactions, Vol. 4, 1971, pp. 32-38.

133 Pringle, R. Jr., "Stability of Damped Mechanical Systems," AIAA Journal, Vol. 3, 1965, pp. 363-364.

134 Bainum, P.M. and Mackison, D.L., "Gravity-Gradient Stabilization of Synchronous Orbiting Satellites," Journal of British Interplanetary Society, Vol. 21, 1968, pp. 341-369.

Modi, V.J. and Tschann, C., "Librational Motion of a Damped Gravity Oriented Satellite," CASI Transactions, Vol. 3, 1970, pp. 55-

61.

136 Murphy, J.R. and Kane, T.R., "Explicit Attitude Stability

Consultation "Lournal of Spacecraft" Criteria for Passive, Earth-Pointing Satellites," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 13, 1976, pp. 180-187.

137 Chobotov, V.A., "Gravitationally Stabilized Satellite Solar Power Station in Orbit," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 14,

1977, pp. 249-251.

138 Powel, B.K., "Gravity-Gradient Desaturation of Momentum Exchange Attitude Control," AIAA Paper 71-940, AIAA Guidance, Control and Flight Mechanics Conference, 1971.

¹³⁹Garwin, R.L., "Solar Sailing—A Practical Method of Propulsion Within the Solar System," *Jet Propulsion*, Vol. 28, 1958,

pp. 188-190.

140 Sohn, R.L., "Attitude Stabilization by Means of Solar Radiation Pressure," ARS Journal, Vol. 29, 1959, pp. 371-373.

¹⁴¹Newton, R.R., "Stabilizing a Spherical Satellite by Radiation Pressure," ARS Journal, Vol. 30, 1960, pp. 1175-1177.

142 Hibbard, R.R., "Attitude Stabilization Using Focussed Radiation Pressure," ARS Journal, Vol. 31, 1961, pp. 844-845.

143 Ule, L.A., "Orientation of Spinning Satellites by Radiation

Pressure," AIAA Journal, Vol. 1, 1963, pp. 1575-1578.

144 Accord, J.D. and Nicklas, J.C., "Theoretical and Practical Aspects of Solar Pressure Attitude Control for Interplanetary Spacecraft," Guidance and Control II, Advances in Astronautical

Sciences, edited by Langford and Mundo, Academic Press, New York, 1964, pp. 73-101. Nicklas, J.C. et al., "Attitude Control for Spacecrafts," U.S.

Patent No. 3304,028, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Aug. 1967. 146 Donlin, T.J. and Randall, J.C., "A Solar-Vane Actuation System for Spacecraft Attitude Control," ASME Mechanisms

Conference, Lafayette, Ind., Paper No. 64-Mech. 40, 1964.

Mar, J. and Vigneron, F.R., "Passive Spin Propulsion of Larger Flexible Spherically Shaped Satellites by the Solar Radiation Field." IUTAM/COSPAR/IAV Joint Symposium on Trajectories of Artificial Bodies, Springer-Verlag, Germany, 1965, pp. 151-153.

¹⁴⁸Galitiskaya, E.B. and Kiseler, M.I., "Radiation Control of the Orientation of Space Probes," Cosmic Research, Vol. 3, 1965, pp.

¹⁴⁹Carrel, J.R. and Limburg, R.C., "Dynamics of a Solar Pressure Stabilized Satellite," M.S. Thesis, MIT, Center for Space Research, Cambridge, Mass., 1965.

150 Peterson, C.A., "Use of Thermal Re-radiation Effects in

Spacecraft Attitude Control," CSR-TR-66-3, MIT Center for Space Research, Cambridge, Mass., 1966.

¹⁵¹Colombo, G., "Passive Stabilization of a Sunblazer Probe by Means of Radiation Pressure Torque," CSR-CR-66-5, MIT Center for Space Research, Cambridge, Mass., 1966.

152 Harrington, J.C., "The Dynamics of a Spinning Solar Pressure

Stabilized Satellite with Precession Damping," CSR-TR-66-6, MIT Center for Space Research, Cambridge, Mass., 1966.

¹⁵³Falcovitz, J., "Attitude Control of a Spinning Sun-Orbiting Spacecraft by Means of a Grated Solar Sail," CSR-TR-66-17, MIT Center for Space Research, Cambridge, Mass., 1966.

¹⁵⁴Naguyen, L.T., "Three Axis Orientation and Stabilization of a Probe Using Solar Pressure," M.S. Thesis, MIT, Center for Space Research, Cambridge, Mass., 1970.

155 Dzhumanoliev, N.D. et al., "Small Oscillations of Black and White Bodies Stabilized by Radiation Pressure," Cosmic Research, Vol. 5, 1967, pp. 550-551.

156 Merrick, V.K., Moran, F.J., and Tinling, B.E., "A Technique for Passive Attitude Control of Solar Oriented Interplanetary Spacecraft," NASA TND-4641, 1968.

¹⁵⁷Crocker, M.C., "Attitude Control of a Sun-Pointing Spinning Spacecraft by Means of Solar Radiation Pressure," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 7, 1970, pp. 357-359.

¹⁵⁸Mallach, E.G., "Solar Pressure Damping of the Librations of a Gravity-Gradient Oriented Satellite," AIAA Student Journal, 1966, pp. 143-147.

¹⁵⁹Modi, V.J. and Flanagan, R.C., "Librational Damping of a Gravity-Oriented System by Using Solar Radiation Pressure,"

Aeronautical Journal, Vol. 75, 1971, pp. 560-564.

160 Modi, V.J. and Tschann, C., "On the Attitude and Librational Control of a Satellite Using Solar Radiation Pressure," Proceedings of XXI International Astronautical Congress, North Holland Publishing Co., 1971, pp. 84-100.

161 Modi, V.J. and Kumar, K., "Attitude Control of Satellites Using the Solar Radiation Pressure," Journal of Spacecraft and

Rockets, Vol. 9, 1972, pp. 711-713.

162 Modi, V.J. and Pande, K.C., "Solar Pressure Control of a Dual-Spin Satellite," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 10,

1973, pp. 355-361.

163 Modi, V.J. and Pande, K.C., "A Bang-Bang Solar Pressure Attitude Control System," Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol.

22, 1974, pp. 1-20.

164 Modi, V.J., Pande, K.C., and Kumar, K., "On the Optimized Attitude Control of Space Vehicles Using Solar Radiation Pressure," Proceedings of VI Symposium of IFAC, USSR, 1974, Vol. 3, pp. 48-

62.

165 Pande, K.C., Davies, M.S., and Modi, V.J., "Time Optimal Processing Processing Vision Vis Pitch Control of Satellites Using Solar Radiation Pressure," Journal

of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 11, 1974, pp. 601-603.

166 Pande, K.C., "Attitude Control of Spinning Spacecraft by Radiation Pressure," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 13,

1976, pp. 765-768.

167 Pande, K.C. and Venkatachalam, R., "Inertially Fixed Pitch Stabilization of Satellites by Solar Radiation Pressure," Astronautica, Vol. 7, 1980, pp. 155-167.

168 Kumar, K. and Joshi, V.K., "Solar Radiation Damping of Satellite Librations in Elliptic Orbits," Journal of Astronautical

Sciences, Vol. 28, 1980, pp. 89-98.

169 Joshi, V.K. and Kumar, K., "New Solar Attitude Control Approach for Satellites in Elliptic Orbits," Journal of Guidance and Control, Vol. 3, 1980, pp. 42-47.

170 Stuck, B.W., "Solar Pressure 3-Axis Attitude Control," Journal of Guidance and Control, Vol. 3, 1980, pp. 132-139.

¹⁷¹Shrivastava, S.K., Hablani, H.B., and Gopinath, N.S., "SPACTS: Semi-Passive Attitude Control and Trajectory Stabilization Systems for Geostationary Satellites," Journal of British Interplanetary Society, Vol. 30, 1977, pp. 63-71.

²Chretien, J.P. et al., "Fine Attitude Control of an Earth Observation Satellite with a Dissymmetrical Rotating Solar Array,' Paper AIAA-81-1763-CP, AIAA Guidance and Control Conference,

1981.

1981.

1981.

1981.

1981.

1981.

1982.

1983.

1984.

1985.

1985.

1985.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

1986.

19 Mar del Plata, Argentina, 1969.

174 Debra, D. and Stearns, E.V., "Attitude Control," Electrical

Engineering, Vol. 77, 1958, pp. 1088-1090.

175 Wall, J.K., "The Feasibility of Aerodynamic Attitude Stabilization of a Satellite Vehicle," Preprint No. 787-59, ARS

Controllable Satellite Conference, MIT, Cambridge, Mass., 1959.

176 Schrello, D.M., "Dynamic Stability of Aerodynamically Responsive Satellites," *Journal of Aerospace Sciences*, Vol. 29, 1962, pp. 442-444.

177 Sarychev, V.A., "Aerodynamic Stabilization of Satellites,"

Proceedings of International Colloquium on Attitude Changes and Stabilization of Satellites, Paris, 1968, pp. 177-179.

¹⁷⁸Hoffer, E.P., "Time Optimal Semiactive Attitude Control for the Pitch Motion of a Satellite," XXII International Astronautical

Congress, Brussels, Belgium, 1971, p. 165.

179 Ravindran, R. and Hughes, P.C., "Optimal Aerodynamic Attitude Stabilization of Near Earth Satellites," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 9, 1972, pp. 499-506.

¹⁸⁰Flanagan, R.C. and Rangarajan, R., "Liapunov Stability Analysis and Attitude Response of a Passively Stabilized Space System," Astronautica Acta, Vol. 18, 1973, pp. 21-34.

181 Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "On the Optimized Per-

formance of a Semipassive Aerodynamic Controller," AIAA Journal,

Vol. 11, 1973, pp. 1080-1085.

182 Modi, V.J. and Shrivastava, S.K., "AEROSOL: A Semipassive Hybrid Control System," Israel Journal of Technology, Vol. 13,

1975, pp. 63-72.

183 Modi, V.J. and Pande, K.C., "Aerodynamic Solar Hybrid Attitude Control of Near-Earth Satellites," Journal of the Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 22, 1974, pp. 36-54.

¹⁸⁴Pande, K.C. and Venkatachalam, R., "On Optimal Aerodynamic Attitude Control of Spacecraft," Acta Astronautica, Vol. 6, 1979, pp. 1351-1359.

¹⁸⁵Kamm, L.J., "Magnetotorquer—A Satellite Orientation Device," ARS Journal, Vol. 31, 1961, pp. 813-815.

186 Fischell, R.E., "Passive Magnetic Attitude Control for Earth Satellites," Paper 62-8, American Astronautical Society, 1962.

Fischell, R.E., "Magnetic Damping of the Angular Motions of

Earth Satellites," ARS Journal, Vol. 31, 1961, pp. 1210-1217.

188 Chan, Y., "The Damped Angular Motion of a Magnetically Oriented Satellite," Journal of the Franklin Institute, Vol. 280, 1965,

pp. 291-306.

189 Aiguabella, R., "Features of the Magnetic Alignment of the ESRO-I Satellite," ESRO-SN-120, European Space Technology and Research Centre, 1972.

190 Kammuller, R.W., "Roll Resonance and Passive Roll Control of Magnetically Stabilized Satellites," AIAA Journal, Vol. 10, 1972, pp. 129-136.

¹⁹¹Ergin, E.I. and Wheeler, D.C., "Magnetic Attitude Control of a Spinning Satellite," AIAA Paper 64-235, AIAA First Annual Meeting, 1964.

¹⁹²Mobley, F.F., "Attitude Control System for the Atmosphere Explorer-B Satellite," AIAA Paper 65-432, AIAA Second Annual Meeting, 1965.

193 Renard, M.L., "Attitude Perturbations and Magnetic Control of a Spin-Stabilized Satellite," ESRO TR-1, European Space

Technology and Research Centre, 1966.

194 Glass, M.S., "Principles of Design of Magnetic Devices for Attitude Control of a Satellite," Bell Systems Technical Journal, Vol.

46, 1967, pp. 893-912.

195 Tossman, B.E., "Magnetic Attitude Control of System for the Radio Astronomy Explorer-A Satellite," Journal of Spacecraft and

Rockets, Vol. 6, 1969, pp. 239-244.

196 Shigehara, M., "Geomagnetic Attitude Control of an Axisymmetric Spinning Satellite," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 9, 1972, pp. 391-398.

197 Sorensen, J.A., "Precision Magnetic Attitude Control for Spinning Spacecraft," Stanford University, SUDAAR 380, 1969.

⁸Sorensen, J.A., "A Magnetic Attitude Control System for an Axisymmetric Spinning Spacecraft," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 8, 1971, pp. 441-448.

199 Collins, D.H. and Bonello, D.P., "An Attitude Control System

for Earth Observation Spacecraft," AIAA Paper 73-854, 1973.

⁹⁰⁰Hecht, E. and Manger, W.P., "Magnetic Attitude Control of the TIROS Satellites," Torques and Attitude Sensing in Earth Satellites, edited by S.F. Singer, Academic Press, New York, 1964,

pp. 127-135.

201 Lindorfer, W. and Muhlfelder, L., "Attitude and Spin Control Confor TIROS Wheel," AIAA/JACC Guidance and Control Con-

ference, 1966, pp. 448-461.

202 Vrabik, E.Z., Black, W.L., and Travis, L.J., "LES-4 Spin Axis Orientation System," Lincoln Laboratory Tech. Note 1965-48, MIT, Cambridge, Mass., 1965.

²⁰³Black, W.L., Howland, B., and Vrablik, E.A., "An Electromagnetic Attitude Control System for a Synchronous Satellite." Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 6, 1969, pp. 795-798.

²⁰⁴ Mobley, F.F., Teener, J.W., Brown, D.D., and Tossman, B.E., "Performance of the Spin Control System of the DME-A Satellite," AIAA Guidance and Control Conference, 1966, pp. 463-477.

²⁰⁵ Frohlich, H. et al., "The Active Magnetic Control System of the AEROS Satellite," Proceedings of Fourth Symposium on Automatic Control, Lzdavacko Preduzeće Technika, 1971, pp. 15.1-15.20.

²⁰⁶Mobley, F.F. and Tossman, B.E., "Magnetic Attitude Control System for HEAO," NASA-CR-103025, 1971.

207 Flatley, T.W., "Magnetic Attitude and Spin Control of the

Small Scientific Satellite S³-A," NASA TND-5572, 1970.

⁸Malik, N.K., Rajaram, S., and Goel, P.S., "Magnetic Bias Control for Bhaskara," Zeitschrift für Flugwissenschaften und

Weltraumforschung, Vol. 5, 1981, pp. 78-83.

²⁰⁹Sonnabend, D., "A Magnetic Control System for an Earth-Pointing Satellite," Proceedings of Symposium on Attitude Stabilization and Control of Dual-Spin Spacecraft, Aerospace Corp.,

El Segundo, Calif., AD-670154, 1967, pp. 121-144.

210 Keigler, J.E. and Perkel, H., "STABILITE—Application of Momentum Exchange to Second Generation Weather Satellites,' Proceedings of Symposium on Attitude Stabilization and Control of Dual-Spin Spacecraft, Aerospace Corp., El Segundo, Calif., AD-670154, 1967, pp. 235-242.
²¹¹ Alfriend, K.T., "A Magnetic Attitude Control System for Dual-

Spin Satellite," AIAA Journal, Vol. 13, 1975, pp. 817-822.

212 Stickler, A.C. and Alfriend, K.T., "Elementary Magnetic Attitude Control System," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 13, 1976, pp. 282-287.

²¹³Alfriend, K.T. and Lindberg, R.E. Jr., "Geomagnetic Field Effects on the Design of a Magnetic Attitude Control System." Journal of Astronautical Sciences, Vol. XXVII, 1979, pp. 269-272.

²¹⁴Schmidt, G.E., "The Application of Magnetic Attitude Control to a Momentum-Biased Synchronous Communication Satellite,'

AIAA Paper 75-1055, 1975.

²¹⁵Goel, P.S. and Rajaram, S., "Magnetic Attitude Control of a Momentum-Biased Satellite in a Near-Equatorial Orbit," Journal of Guidance and Control, Vol. 2, 1979, pp. 334-338.

²¹⁶Modi, V.J., and Pande, K.C., "Magnetic-Solar Hybrid Attitude

Control of Satellites in Near-Equatorial Orbits," Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 11, 1974, pp. 845-851.

²¹⁷Spencer, T.M., "Automatic Magnetic Control of a Momentum Biased Observatory in Equatorial Orbit," Astrodynamics, Vol. 33,

1975, pp. 93-115.

218 Lacombe, J.L., "Magnetotorquing for the Attitude Control of Geostationary Satellites," Proceedings of Conference on Attitude and

Orbit Control Systems, Noordwijk, ESA SP-128, 1977.

²¹⁹McElvain, R.J., "Satellite Angular Momentum Removal Utilizing the Earth's Magnetic Field," *Torques and Attitude Sensing* in Earth Satellites, edited by S.F. Singer, Academic Press, New York, 1964, pp. 137-158.

²²⁰Levidow, W. and Kranjon, J., "Use of Magnetic Torque for Removing Bias Momentum With Application to Skylab," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 7, 1970, pp. 1168-1172.

²²¹Buckingham, A.G. and Braumiller, J., "Magnetic Torques for Momentum Desaturation of Space Station Control Moment Gyros," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 9, 1972, pp. 387-388.

²²²Stakem, P.H., "Passive Magnetic Momentum Wheel Unloading," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 14, 1977, pp. 335-338.

²²³Krishna, R., Shrivastava, S.K., and Prasad, U.R., "Triaxial Control of an Earth Observation Satellite Using Two Reaction Wheels and Electromagnets," Zeitschrift für Flugwissenschaften und Weltraumforschung, Vol. 2, 1978, pp. 280-290.

From the AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics Series...

ENTRY HEATING AND THERMAL PROTECTION—v. 69

HEAT TRANSFER, THERMAL CONTROL, AND HEAT PIPES—v. 70

Edited by Walter B. Olstad, NASA Headquarters

The era of space exploration and utilization that we are witnessing today could not have become reality without a host of evolutionary and even revolutionary advances in many technical areas. Thermophysics is certainly no exception. In fact, the interdisciplinary field of thermophysics plays a significant role in the life cycle of all space missions from launch, through operation in the space environment, to entry into the atmosphere of Earth or one of Earth's planetary neighbors. Thermal control has been and remains a prime design concern for all spacecraft. Although many noteworthy advances in thermal control technology can be cited, such as advanced thermal coatings, louvered space radiators, low-temperature phasechange material packages, heat pipes and thermal diodes, and computational thermal analysis techniques, new and more challenging problems continue to arise. The prospects are for increased, not diminished, demands on the skill and ingenuity of the thermal control engineer and for continued advancement in those fundamental discipline areas upon which he relies. It is hoped that these volumes will be useful references for those working in these fields who may wish to bring themselves up-to-date in the applications to spacecraft and a guide and inspiration to those who, in the future, will be faced with new and, as yet, unknown design challenges.

> Volume 69—361 pp., 6×9, illus., \$22.00 Mem., \$37.50 List *Volume 70—393 pp.*, 6×9, illus., \$22.00 Mem., \$37.50 List

TO ORDER WRITE: Publications Dept., AIAA, 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, N.Y. 10104